

Quantum Wave Technologies

A path forward for local realism and its applications

Stuart Mirell

Daniel Mirell

QuWT.com

mail@quwt.com

April, 2022

QuWT-ir-220419

Introduction and References

The formulation of a locally real representation, LR, is presented in the context of the Quantum Wave Technologies website in the interests of providing a single comprehensive overview of the theoretical basis for LR as well as a perspective of its potential applications. Various preliminary aspects of LR have been disclosed by the authors in prior publications and patents cited in the REFERENCE list below.

+++++

The primary objectives of Quantum Wave Technologies are to formulate a rigorously derived locally real representation of quantum mechanics (LR) and to develop novel technologies that are deduced from physical phenomena predicted by LR.

These objectives, by any measure, are audacious in the regard that the generally accepted “Probabilistic Interpretation” of quantum mechanics presumptively excludes any locally real representation of quantum mechanics including the particular LR formulation discussed here. LR is fully consistent with the underlying mathematical formalism of quantum mechanics but is contrary to the Probabilistic Interpretation of that formalism.

The Probabilistic Interpretation (PI), also commonly referred to as the “Copenhagen Interpretation,” necessitates non-local phenomena such as “entanglement” that are inconsistent with the most fundamental principles of classical physics. Entanglement is of special interest because it presumptively facilitates exotic applications such as quantum computing and quantum teleportation.

We show here that reported tests, such as Bell experiments that support PI, do not exclude the particular LR formulation of local realism. Additionally, we detail a performed experiment that is consistent with LR but excludes PI. Well known published results purportedly demonstrating entanglement are shown to be illusory. Similarly, the invalidation of PI and of physical phenomena particular to PI such as entanglement necessarily also negates applications that are dependent on those phenomena. In that regard, existing demonstrations of applications such as quantum computing and quantum teleportation are also illusory.

Importantly, however, LR opens up a new set of physical phenomena from which novel technologies can be deduced. The present QuWT website discloses a variety of applications that can be realized with those technologies. For example, with respect to electromagnetic radiation, these applications include coherent beams of energy-less empty waves that can be used for stealth communication and for non-destructive biological imaging.

CONTENTS

Chapter 1. Fundamentals of LR local realism

Chapter 2. Experimental Demonstrations of LR

Chapter 3. Particle States in LR and
the Stern-Gerlach Experiment

POSTS

PDF REFERENCES

REFERENCES

S. Mirell and D. Mirell: relevant papers [100+] and patents [200+]

Other relevant publications [300+] and patents [400+]

General related articles, books, papers [500+] and Comments [600+]

Any reference [xxx]-C indicates that a pdf copy is available at this website.

Relevant publications by S.G. Mirell and D.J. Mirell, in references [100+]

[101]-C Stuart Mirell, "Correlated photon asymmetry in local realism," Physical Review A, Volume 50, No. 1, pp. 839-842 (1994).

[102]-C S.G. Mirell, "Locally Real States of Photons and Particles," Physical Review A, Vol. 65, 2002, Article ID: 032102/1-22 March (2002). [doi: 10.1103/PhysRevA.65.032102](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.65.032102)

The paper [102]-C comprehensively covers LR processes in which an emitted wave state bears an “observable” which for photons pertains to the readily detectable particle-like energy quantum. This LR representation is extended in [219]-C to include the accompanying emitted orthogonal “non-observable” (empty) wave.

[109]-C Daniel Mirell and Stuart Mirell, “Experimental test for violation of duality on a photon beam,” arXiv:quant-ph/0509028v4 8 Sep 2005.

[116]-C Daniel Mirell and Stuart Mirell, “Duality violation from a grating,” arXiv:1107.1306v1 [quant-ph] 7 Jul 2011.

[123]-C Daniel Mirell and Stuart Mirell, “Macroscopic violation of duality generated on a laser beam,” arXiv:1307.7193 [quant-ph] 26 Jul 2013.

[124]-C Daniel Mirell and Stuart Mirell, “Macroscopic Violation of Duality Generated on a Laser Beam,” Journal of Modern Physics, Volume 4 p. 911-922 July (2013).

References [109-124] relate to grating-based methods for achieving duality modulation.

Relevant patents of S.G. Mirell and D.J Mirell, in references [200+]

[201] U.S. Pat. No. 6,028,686, Feb. 22, 2000, by Stuart Gary Mirell and Daniel Joseph Mirell, “Energy-Depleted Radiation Apparatus and Method”.

[205] U.S. Pat. No. 6,804,470, Oct. 12, 2004, by Stuart Gary Mirell and Daniel Joseph Mirell, “Energy-Depleted Radiation Apparatus and Method.”

[206] U.S. Pat. No. 7,262,914 by Stuart Gary Mirell and Daniel Joseph Mirell, Aug. 28, 2007, “Method and apparatus for generating and detecting duality modulated electromagnetic radiation.”

[209] U.S. Pat. No. 7,528,380 by Stuart G. Mirell and Daniel J. Mirell, May 5, 2009, “Method and apparatus for generating a focused beam using duality modulation.”

[212] U.S. Pat. No. 8,081,383, Dec. 20, 2011, by Stuart G. Mirell and Daniel J. Mirell, “Coupler and related method for equilibrating a duality modulated beam with another beam using one or more Mach-Zehnder stages.”

[213] U.S. Pat. No. 8,670,181, March 11, 2014, by Stuart G. Mirell and Daniel J. Mirell, “Method and apparatus for generating duality modulated electromagnetic radiation.”

[216] U.S. Pat. No. 9,817,165, November 14, 2017, by Stuart Gary Mirell and Daniel Joseph Mirell, “Cascade method and apparatus for generating increased duality modulation of electromagnetic radiation.”

[219]-C U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 2019/0018175 A1, Jan. 17, 2019 by Stuart Gary Mirell and Daniel Joseph Mirell, “Polarization-based method and apparatus for generating duality modulated electromagnetic radiation.”

[220] Additional Patents Pending

Relevant publications in the literature, references [300+]

[303] P. A. M. Dirac, “The Principles of Quantum Mechanics,” Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1930.

[304] J. Baggott, The Meaning of Quantum Theory, Oxford University Press, 1992.

Regarding Bohm’s theory (1952), the quantum potential from which the quantum force is derived. The magnitude of that force depends on the form of the wavefunction and not its amplitude.

[306] L. Mandel, “Quantum Theory of Interference Effects Produced by Independent Light Beams,” Physical Review, Vol. 134, No. 1A, 1964, pp. A10-A15. [doi: 10.1103/PhysRev.134.A10](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.134.A10)

[307] P. Fong, Elementary Quantum Mechanics, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Massachusetts, 1962.

[309] L. de Broglie, "Electrons et Photons," Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1928.

[311] F. Selleri, "Amplification of Light from an Undulatory Point of View," Physics Letters A, Vol. 120, No. 8, 1987, pp. 371-376. [doi: 10.1016/0375-9601\(87\)90680-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0375-9601(87)90680-3)

[314] A. Garuccio, K. A. Popper, and J. -P. Vigièr, "Possible Direct Physical Detection of de Broglie's Waves," Physics Letters A, Vol. 86A, No. 8, 1981, pp. 397-400. [doi: 10.1016/0375-9601\(81\)90346-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0375-9601(81)90346-7)

[318] J. R. Croca, A. Garuccio, V. L. Lepore, and R. N. Moreira, "Quantum-Optical Predictions for an Experiment on the de Broglie waves Detection," Foundations of Physics Letters, Vol. 3, No. 6, 1990, pp. 557-564. [doi: 10.1007/BF00666024](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00666024)

The proposed experiment has a valid basis for a positive detection.

[320] J. W. S. Rayleigh, "Note on the Remarkable Case of Diffraction Spectra Described by Prof. Wood," Philosophical Magazine, Vol. 14, No. 79, 1907, pp. 60-65. [doi: 10.1080/14786440709463661](https://doi.org/10.1080/14786440709463661)

[323] H. Paul, "Interference Between Independent Photons," Reviews of Modern Physics, Vol. 58, No. 1, 1986, pp. 209-231. [doi:](https://doi.org/)

[10.1103/RevModPhys.58.209](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.58.209)

[327] A. Einstein, B. Podolsky, and N. Rosen, "Can Quantum Mechanical Description of Physical Reality be Considered Complete?," *Physical Review*, Vol. 47, No. 10, 1935, pp. 777-780. [doi: 10.1103/PhysRev.47.777](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.47.777)

[329] J. S. Bell, "On the Einstein Podolsky Rosen Paradox," *Physics*, Vol. 1, No. 3, 1964, pp. 195-200.

[332] J. F. Clauser and A. Shimony, "Bell's Theorem: Experimental Tests and Implications," *Reports on Progress in Physics*, Vol. 41, 1978, pp. 1881-1927 and references therein. [doi: 10.1088/0034-4885/41/12/002](https://doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/41/12/002)

[338] A. Aspect, J. Dalibard, and G. Roger, "Experimental Test of Bell's Inequalities Using Time-Varying Analyzers," *Physical Review Letters*, Vol. 49, No. 25, 1982, pp. 1804-1807. [doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.49.1804](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.49.1804)

[340] M. Ferrero, T. W. Marshall, and E. Santos, "Bell's Theorem: Local Realism Versus Quantum Mechanics," *American Journal of Physics*, Vol. 58, No. 7, 1990, pp. 683-687. [doi: 10.1119/1.16400](https://doi.org/10.1119/1.16400)

[342] V. L. Lepore and F. Selleri, "Do Performed Optical Tests Disprove Local Realism?," *Foundations of Physics Letters*, Vol. 3, No. 3, 1990, pp. 203-220. [doi: 10.1007/BF00666012](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00666012)

[344] S. J. Freedman and J.F. Clauser, “Experimental test of local hidden-variable theories,” Phys.Rev. Lett. **28** (938): 938-941. (1972). [doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.28.938](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.28.938)

[345] J. F. Clauser and M. A. Horne, “Experimental Consequences of Objective Local Theories,” Physical Review D, Vol. 10, No. 2, 1974, pp. 526-535. [doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.10.526](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.10.526)

Shows that HVT's inclusive of “enhancement” are not subject to Bell's theorem.

[349] De Broglie in 1927 reported in Rapport au V'ieme Congres de Physique Solvay, Gauthier-Villars, Paris 1930 and The Current Interpretation of Wave Mechanics: A Critical Study, Elsevier, Amsterdam (1969).

[350] René Dändliker, “The concept of modes in optics and photonics,” In Sixth International Conference on Education and Training in Optics and Photonics, J. Javier Sánchez-Mondragón, Editor, SPIE Vol. 3831 (2000).

[351] C. H. Bennett and Gilles Brassard. Quantum cryptography: Public key distribution and coin tossing. In Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Computers, Systems and Signal Processing, Vol. 175 p.8, New York, 1984.

[353] A. K. Ekert. “Quantum cryptography based on Bell's theorem”. Physical Review Letters. 67 (6): 661-663.

Provides security by the difference between correlated pairs vs. identical pairs. The former are not entangled.

[354] W. Wei, H. Maris, Z. Xie, and G. Seidel, “Study of Exotic Ions in Superfluid Helium and the Possible Fission of the Electron Wave Function,” *Journal of Low Temperature Physics*, 2014; doi: 10.1007/s10909-014-1224-3

Suggests the existence of objectively real wave packets with reduced probability.

[355] M. Lai and J. -C. Diels, “Wave-Particle Duality of a Photon in Emission,” *Journal of the Optical Society of America B*, Vol. 9, No. 12, 1992, pp. 2290-2294. [doi: 10.1364/JOSAB.9.002290](https://doi.org/10.1364/JOSAB.9.002290)

[358] A. Landé, *New Foundations of Quantum Mechanics*, 1965, Cambridge at the University Press.

[359] K. R. Popper, “Quantum Theory and the Schism in Physics,” Rowman and Littlefield, Totowa, New Jersey, 1982.

Popper argues that a propensity represented (by a quantum wave) is “a real physical property” and quotes A. Landé [358] that “it can be kicked, and can kick back.”

[360] E. Schrödinger, in Arthur Fine, *The Shaky Game: Einstein, Realism and the Quantum Theory*, 2nd ed., University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1996, pp. 82-3.

Schrödinger, in a letter to A. Einstein 19 Aug.1935, interpreted the

wavefunction as a description of a real matter wave.

[361] N. Bohr, "The Quantum Postulate and the Recent Development of Atomic Theory," *Nature*, Vol. 121, 1928, pp. 580-590.

[362] S. Kocsis, B. Braverman, S. Ravets, M. J. Stevens, R. P. Mirin, L. K. Shalm, and A. M. Steinberg, "Observing the Average Trajectories of Single Photons in a Two-Slit Interferometer," *Science*, Vol. 332, 2011, pp. 1170-1173. [doi: 10.1126/science.1202218](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1202218)

[365] R. Menzel, D. Puhlmann, A. Heuer, and W. P. Schleich, "Wave-Particle Dualism and Complementarity Unraveled by a Different Mode," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, Vol. 109, No. 24, 2012, pp. 9314-9319. [doi: 10.1073/pnas.1201271109](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1201271109)

[366] S. Wechsler, "About empty waves, their effect, and the quantum theory," [arXiv.org/ftp/arxiv/papers/1008/1008.4849 pdf](https://arxiv.org/ftp/arxiv/papers/1008/1008.4849.pdf).

Relates to "ghost imaging" e.g. Y. Shih, "The physics of ghost imaging," [arXiv:0805.1166v5 \[quant-ph\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/0805.1166v5) 29 Sept 2009.

[367] P. W. Shor, "Algorithms for quantum computation: discrete logarithms and factoring," *Proceedings 35th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*. IEEE Comput. Soc. Press:124-134. (1994)

[369] Y. Aharonov, D. Z. Albert, and L. Vaidman, “How the Result of a Measurement of a Component of the Spin of a Spin-1/2 particle can Turn Out to be 100,” Physical Review Letters, Vol. 60, No. 14, 1988, pp. 1351-1354. [doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.60.1351](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.60.1351)

[371] G. J. Pryde, J. L. O'Brien, A. G. White, T. C. Ralph, and H. M. Wiseman, “Measurement of Quantum Weak Values of Photon Polarization,” Physical Review Letters, Vol. 94, No. 22, 2005, Article ID: 220405. [doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.220405](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.220405)

[372] R. Mir, J. S. Lundeen, M. W. Mitchell, A. M. Steinberg, J. L. Garretson, and H. M. Wiseman, “A Double-Slit ‘Which-Way’ Experiment on the Complementarity-Uncertainty Debate,” New Journal of Physics, Vol. 9, 2007, Article ID: 287. [doi: 10.1088/1367-2630/9/8/287](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/9/8/287)

[373] J. S. Lundeen, and A. M. Steinberg, “Experimental Joint Weak Measurement on a Photon Pair as a Probe of Hardy’s Paradox,” Physical Review Letters, Vol. 102, No. 2, 2009, Article ID: 020404. [doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.020404](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.020404)

[375] K. Yokota, T. Yamamoto, M. Koashi, and N. Imoto, “Direct Observation of Hardy’s Paradox by Joint Weak Measurement with an Entangled Photon Pair,” New Journal of Physics, Vol. 11, 2011, Article ID: 033011. [doi: 10.1088/1367-2630/11/3/033011](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/11/3/033011)

[376] H. M. Wiseman, “Grounding Bohmian Mechanics in Weak

Values,” New Journal of Physics, Vol. 9, 2007, Article ID: 165. [doi: 10.1088/1367-2630/9/6/165](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/9/6/165)

[378] M. Rabinowitz, “Examination of Wave-Particle Duality via Two-Slit Interference,” Modern Physics Letters B, Vol. 9, No. 13, 1995, pp. 763-789. [doi: 10.1142/S0217984995000711](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217984995000711)

[379] M. Rabinowitz, “Challenges to Bohr’s Wave-Particle Complementarity Principle,” International Journal of Theoretical Physics, Vol. 52, No. 2, 2013, pp. 668-678. [doi: 10.1007/s10773-012-1374-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10773-012-1374-5)

[380] L. Chen, S. Anlage, and T. Kottos, “Perfect absorption in complex scattering systems with or without hidden symmetries, Nature Communications (2020). Doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-19645-5

Relates to restoration of empty SLM laser beams as an “anti-laser”.

[381] M. Born, “Zur Quantenmechanik der Strossvorgänge,” Zeitschrift für Physik, Vol. 37, 1926, pp. 863-867. [doi: 10.1007/BF01397477](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01397477)

[382] A. Einstein and P. Ehrenfest, Zeitschrift für Physik 11, 31-34 (1922).

[383] Issachar Unna and Tilman Sauer, “Einstein, Ehrenfest, and the quantum measurement problem,” Ann. Phys. (Berlin) 525, No. 1-2, A15-A19 (2013)/DOI 10.1002/andp.201300708

Interesting insights into an initial analysis of the SGE measurement problem by Einstein and Ehrenfest.

[384] Horst Schmidt-Böcking, Lothar Schmidt, Hans Jürgen Lüdde, Wolfgang Trageser, Alan Templeton, and Tilman Sauer, “The Stern-Gerlach Experiment Revisited,” arXiv:1609.09311v1 [physics.hist-ph] 29 Sep 2016

A comprehensive review of the SGE including multiple references to directional quantization and the dilemma it has historically presented as the quantum measurement problem.

[385] R. G. J. Fraser, Molecular Rays, Cambridge University Press, 1931.

A notable extensive monograph contemporary to initial investigations on directional quantization by Stern and Gerlach and by Rabi, detailed in chapter V. Available as a republished edition from Cambridge University Press, 2015.

[386] W. Gerlach and O. Stern, Der experimentelle Nachweis der Richtungsquantelung im Magnetfeld. Zeitschrift für Physik, 9:349-352.

[390] D. Bohm Physical Review, 85, 166-93 (1952).

OTHER PATENTS OF RELEVANCE [400+]

[401] U.S. Pat. No. 4,730,170, March 8, 1988, by Thomas J. T. Kwan and Charles M. Snell, "Virtual cathode microwave generator having annular anode slit."

Discloses a microwave generator that produces SLM single longitudinal mode radiation and demonstrates an essential source component for duality modulation in the microwave regime.

[402] U.S. Pat. No. 3,023,379, Feb. 27, 1962, by E. H. Turner, "Transversely magnetized non-reciprocal microwave device."

Discloses a polarization device for use in the microwave regime of the spectrum that is an analog to birefringent polarizers used in the optical regime. This is an alternative to a parallel wire polarizer that also functions in the microwave regime.